FINDINGS OF FACT AND RESOLUTION BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

The APPEAL of Neil Paulsen for a dimensional variance from Section 22.58(2)(a)(1) of the Zoning Code. If granted, the variance would allow the reconstruction of the detached garage in the rear yard at 712 N. Grandview Blvd, with a setback of 1.5 feet from the lot line, when accessory structures shall be located no closer than five (5) feet to a lot line.

1)	That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the property in question, or to the intended use of the property, that do not apply generally to other properties or classes of uses in the same zoning district. (Sec. 22.68(3)(b)(1)(A) Zoning Code).	
	Affirm (it is exceptional)	
	Dissent (it is general or not exceptional)	
2)	That such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights possessed by other properties in the same zoning district and in the same vicinity. (Sec 22.68(3)(b)(1)(B) Zoning Code).	
	Affirm (equal property rights could not otherwise be enjoyed)	
	Dissent (the same property rights can be enjoyed without a variance)	
3)	That a variance is not being requested solely on the basis of economic gain or loss, and that self-imposed hardships are not being considered as grounds for the granting of a variance. (Sec. 22.68(3)(b)(1)(C) Zoning Code).	
	Affirm	
	Dissent	
4)	That the authorizing of such variance will not be of substantial and will not materially impair the purpose and intent of the Zon 22.68(3)(b)(1)(D) Zoning Code).	
	Affirm (adjacent property and/or public interest will not be detrimented)	
	Dissent (adjacent property and/or public interest will be impaired if the variance is granted)	
	On the basis of the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Board took the action indicated in the minutes.	
	ATTEST	

Secretary to the Board