

### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Fred Abadi, PhD, PE, Director

fabadi@ci.waukesha.wi.us



July 9, 2015

Board of Public Works
Dr. Fred Abadi, Director of Public Works

Subject: Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Attached is a copy of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report for the 2014 operating year. In the report we acquired "A" scores in all ten categories, achieving a grade of 4.00 for the entire report. The ten categories that we are evaluated on are: Influent Loading; Effluent BOD Quality; Effluent TSS Quality; Effluent Ammonia Quality; Effluent Phosphorus Quality; Bio-solids Management; Preventive Maintenance and Staffing; Operator Certification; Financial Management; Collection Systems.

Effluent BOD averaged zero mg/l for all 12 months. Our total suspended solids were zero for 10 of the twelve months, with the other two being 1 mg/l, well under our permit limit of 10 mg/l. Ammonia for all 12 months was well under the required limits. And our highest monthly average for phosphorus was 0.3 mg/l. We had one minor dissolved oxygen violation (6.8 w/limit 7.0) during high flows in May.

We had four reported SSO's in 2014; three were due to valve failures at lift stations, and one force main break. Three of these sites are scheduled for upgrades in our CIP and the fourth will be addressed separately. The City continues to put forth effort and expense to improve the collection system.

We are in the middle of construction upgrades to the Wastewater Treatment Facility and we hope to maintain this level of performance. The upcoming months will be causing disruptions to our sand filtration process.

The support of all City Department's and this Board of Public Works is greatly appreciated in our efforts to achieve this "A" rating.

Sincerely,

Jeff Harenda Plant Manager

Fax - 262-524-3898

262-522-7500

Fax - 262-522-7501

Fax - 262-524-3632

Fax - 262-524-3646

□ STREETS

Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

### **Influent Flow and Loading**

1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 702	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	X	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	X	8.34	П	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	8.2905	Х	241	х	8.34	=	16,693
February	8.6832	Х	174	х	8.34	=	12,564
March	9.9094	X	162	х	8.34	=	13,410
April	11.2671	Х	152	Х	8.34	=	14,252
May	12.3698	Х	256	х	8.34	=	26,380
June	10.9212	Х	232	Х	8.34	=	21,119
July	9.4827	X	267	Х	8.34	=	21,141
August	9.5347	Х	289	Х	8.34	=	22,989
September	7.7633	X	267	х	8.34	=	17,291
October	7.8435	X	333	Х	8.34	=	21,813
November	7.6488	X	258	х	8.34	=	16,486
December	7.9828	х	322	Х	8.34	II	21,423

- 2. Maximum Month Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	18.5	X	90	=	16.65
		х	100	11	18.5
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	29653	х	90	=	26687.7
		х	100	=	29653

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

Fotal Numb			J	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Exceedances	3	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
December	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	. 0
February	1	0	0	0	0
January	1	0	0	0	0
	Influent		than 100% of		than 100% of design
	Months of		Number of times flow was greater		Number of times (C)BOD was greater

Waukesha City			Last Updated: 7/1/2015	Reporting Fo
3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow • Yes Enter		in the last year? date (MM/DD/YYYY) 09/25/2014		
O No			•	
If No, please explain:				1
excessive conventional poindustries, commercial use • Yes • No	ollutants ((C)BOD,	ordinance that limited or prohibit , SS, or pH) or toxic substances t e, or residences?		
If No, please explain:				8
4.2 Was it necessary to en  Yes  No  If Yes, please explain:  Five Notices of Violation		nce?		ii .
	——————————————————————————————————————			
5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests Septic Tanks Ho	s to receive septa olding Tanks	ge at your facility? Grease Traps		×
● Yes ● Y	'es	• Yes		
0 No 0 N		O No		
Septic Tanks	ge at your facility? 160,702	? If yes, indicate volume in gallon gallons	s.	
15408	,401,179	gallons		
O No Grease Traps O Yes		gallons		
any of these wastes.	34	xplain if plant performance is affe	cted when recei	ving
Plant performance is not	t affected.		8	
	the sewer system	problems, permit violations, bios n or treatment plant that were at ast year?		ncerns,
If yes, describe the situa	ation and your co	mmunity's response.		
		1		
6.2 Did your facility accept	t hauled industria	al wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?		

# Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

#### o No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Hauled industrial waste is subject to our Pretreatment Program, including permitting, site inspections, testing and monitoring. Some leachate is accepted, and some brine from city and county salt barns.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

0

7/1/2015

2014

### Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	10	10	0	1	0	0
February	10	10	0	1	0	0
March	10	10	0	1	0	0
April	10	10	0	1	0	0
May	10	10	0	1	0	0
June	10	10	0	1	0	0
July	8.5	8.5	0	. 1	0	0
August	8.5	8.5	0	1	0	0
September	8.2	8.2	0	1	0	0
October	10	10	0	1	0	0
November	10	10	0	1	0	0
December	10	10	0	1	0	0
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of di	scharge/yr			12		9
Points per ea	ach exceedanc	e with 12 mon	ths of discharge		7	3
Exceedances	5		0	0		
Points					0	0
Total numb	er of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2.	Fl	OW	N	leter	Cal	i	h	rai	ti.	or	١
4.		OVV			Cui	ш	v	u	u	$\mathbf{v}$	

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

09/25/2014

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- Yes
- o No

If Yes, please explain:

**Waukesha City** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

On May 13th 2014 we had a dissolved oxygen (D.O.) violation. Our permit limit is 7.0 mg/L and we reported 6.8 mg/L that day. We attributed that result to the elevated flows(26-27MGD)from the heavy rains the day before. The sample on the 14th was 8.7 mg/L with flows just under 20 MGD. Our post aeration system is being upgraded with the UV upgrade project.

- 4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

- 4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Waukesha City** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)**

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	10	10	0	1	0	0
February	10	10	0	1	0	0
March	10	10	0	1	0	0
April	10	10	0	1	0	0
May	10	10	1	1	0	0
June	10	10	0	1	0 .	0
July	10	10	.0	1	0	0
August	10	10	0	1	0	0
September	10	10	0	1	0	0
October	10	10	0	1	0	0
November	10	10	0	1	0	0
December	10	10	1	1	0	0
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per	each exceeda	ance with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3
Exceedances	S				0	0
Points					0	0
Total Numi	per of Points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Waukesha City** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)**

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for NH3

Outfall No.		Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	0.44			for Week	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	5 -		.0503225	31 0					
February	5.2	_	.4139285	71 0					
March	6		.0535483	37 0	2				
April	5.6	_	.223	0					
May	4.9		.0325806	45 O					
June	3.1		.0403333	33 0				2	
July	2		.0209677	42 0					
August	2.1	-	.0096774	19 0					
September	2.9		.2443333	33 0					
October	4.5		.1080645	16 0					
November	5.4		.02233333	33 0				-	
December	5.1		.0922580	55 0					
Points per e	ach excee	dance of M	onthly av	erage:					10
Exceedance	s, Monthly	•							0
Points:									0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of v	veekly ave	rage (whe	en there is	no month	ily averge	):	2.5
Exceedance	s, Weekly:	E S							0
Points:									0
Total Numi	hay of Dai	nto							0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for mothly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to detect exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to detect exceedances and gernate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Waukesha City** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

# **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)**

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance		
January	.7	0.1	1	0		
February	.7	0.0	1	. 0		
March	.7	0.0	1	0		
April	.7	0.0	1	0		
May	.7	0.1	1	0		
June	.7	0.1	1	0		
July	.7	0.3	1	0		
August	.7	0.1	1	. 0		
September	.7	0.1	1	0		
October	.7	0.1	1	0		
November	.7	0.1	1	0		
December	.7	0.1	1	0		
Months of Discharg	Months of Discharge/yr 12					
Points per each e	ge:	10				
Exceedances	0					
<b>Total Number of</b>	Total Number of Points					

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

0

Waukesha City	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	7/1/2015	2014

# **Biosolids Quality and Management**

I. Biosolids Use/Disposal  1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)  ☐ Land applied under your permit ☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids ☐ Hauled to another permitted facility ☐ Landfilled ☐ Incinerated ☐ Other  NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type so as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.  1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	uch
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 3073 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 229.5 acres 2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?  2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last ye o Yes (30 points)  No 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years?  Yes No (10 points)  N/A	ear? 0
Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit: 3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the calendar year. Outfall No. 005 - Liquid Sludge	Ceiling
Copper 1500 4300 0	0
Lead 300 840 0	0
Mercury 17 57 0	0
Molybdenum 60 75 0	0
Nickel 336 420 0	0
Selenium 80 100 0	0

Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

Outfall No	o. 00	2 - A	naero	bic	Belt	Pres	sed	Slud	ge									
Parameter	80% of Limit	Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	6.2		5.5		5		7.6		8.6		6			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	2.3		2		2		1		1		1.1			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	612		600		665		730		849		766			0	0
Lead		300	840	47.7		32.7		28.5		33.3		38		32.3			0	0
Mercury		17	57	.36		.45		.29		.4		.48		.31			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	15.2		17.1		19.5		16.1		14.5	Alah.	16.8		0		0
Nickel	336		420	73.1		55.1		52.1		52.4		52.6		70.2		0	11.5	0
Selenium	80		100	6.9		4.7		7		9.8		6.3		5.6		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	1230		1010		964		1280		1410		1340			0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

**Exceedence Points** 

- (0 Points) • 0
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- o No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- o N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

**Exceedence Points** 

- 0 (0 Points)
- (10 Points) 01
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- O Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):

4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, Contact Us.

Outfall Number:	002			
Biosolids Class:	В			
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F			
Sample Dates:	01/01/2014 - 12/31/2014			
Density:	110,000			
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS			
Requirement Met:	Yes			
Land Applied:	Yes			
Process:	ANAER			
Process Description:	Belt press samples.  Lab Certification Number: 721026460			

0

# Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2015 2014

	7/1/2015
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	01/01/2014 - 02/28/2014
Density:	110,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	ANAER
Process Description:	Belt press samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	01/01/2014 - 03/31/2014
Density:	110,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	ANAER
Process Description:	Belt press samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	03/01/2014 - 04/30/2014
Density:	1,500
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	ANAER
Process Description:	Belt press samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460
Outfall Number:	002

Outfall Number:	002			
Biosolids Class:	В			
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F			
Sample Dates:	04/01/2014 - 06/30/2014			
Density:	1,500			
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS			
Requirement Met:	Yes			
Land Applied:	Yes			
Process:	ANAER			
Process Description:	Belt press samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460			

compliance Plantenance Aimaa Repor	•
Waukasha City	Last Updated:

aukesha City	Last Updated: F 7/1/2015	Reporting 2014
Outfall Number:	002	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F	]
Sample Dates:	05/01/2014 - 06/30/2014	1
Density:	930	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	1
Process:	ANAER	
Process Description:	Storage pile samples.  Lab Certification Number: 721026460	
Outfall Number:	002	]
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F	ļ
Sample Dates:	07/01/2014 - 08/31/2014	
Density:	17,000	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	]
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	]
Process:	ANAER	
Process Description:	Belt press samples. <u>Lab Certification Number: 721026460</u>	]
Outfall Number:	002	]
Biosolids Class:	В	]
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F	
Sample Dates:	07/01/2014 - 09/30/2014	
Density:	17,000	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	]
Requirement Met:	Yes	1
Land Applied:	No	]
Process:	ANAER	]
Process Description:	Belt press samples	]
Outfall Number:	002	]
Biosolids Class:	В	-
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F	- 1
Sample Dates:	10/01/2014 - 12/31/2014	- 1
Density:	15,000	- 1
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	- 1
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	ANAER	.
Process Description:	Storage pile samples.  Lab Certification Number: 721026460	

Process Description:

# Waukesha City

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
7/1/2015	2014

vaukesiia City	7/1/2015
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	11/01/2014 - 12/31/2014
Density:	15,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	ANAER
Process Description:	Storage pile samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460
Outfall Number:	005
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	09/01/2014 - 10/31/2014
Density:	15,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	ANAER
Process Description:	Storage pile samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460
Outfall Number:	005
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	09/01/2014 - 10/31/2014
Density:	2,700
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	ANAER
Process Description:	Belt press samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460
Outfall Number:	005
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	09/01/2014 - 10/31/2014
Density:	2,700
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	ANAER

Digester liquid sludge samples. Lab Certification Number: 721026460

Waukesha City		st Updated: /1/2015	Reporting For 2014
<ul> <li>4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not</li> <li>4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the property of Yes (40 Points)</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, what action was taken?</li> </ul>	meet the process criteria at the time of ocess criteria not met at the time of lan	land applica d application	ntion. n?
5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfa 5.1 Verify the following information. If	all): any of the information is incorrect, Cont	act Us.	
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	01/08/2014		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	VSR		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):	38		
Results (if applicable):	61.50		
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	03/31/2014		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			_
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	02/28/2014		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):		e	
Results (if applicable):			
Outfall Number:	002		]
Method Date:	01/08/2014		_
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	VSR		<b>⊣</b>
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	Yes		
Limit (if applicable):	38		_
Results (if applicable):	61.50		

/aukesha City		Last Updated: 7/1/2015	Reporting Fo
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	01/08/2014		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	VSR		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):	38		
Results (if applicable):	61.50		
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	12/31/2014		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	Yes		
Limit (if applicable):	190		
Results (if applicable):			]
Outfall Number:	002	¥	
Method Date:	04/16/2014	5	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	VSR		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):	38		
Results (if applicable):	45.20		
Outfall Number:	002	<u> </u>	1
Method Date:	04/30/2014		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC		
Requirement Met:	Yes	3	
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			<b>_</b>
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	06/30/2014		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC	×.	
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	Yes		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			

aukesha City		Last Updated: 7/1/2015	Reporting F 2014
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	04/16/2014	<b>L</b> a	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	VSR		1
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	Yes		
Limit (if applicable):	38		
Results (if applicable):	45.20		]
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	04/16/2014	•	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	VSR		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	Yes		
Limit (if applicable):	38		
Results (if applicable):	45.20	-	
Outfall Number:	002		7
Method Date:	06/30/2014	1	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	Yes		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	08/31/2014	ŀ	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			
Outfall Number:	002		8
Method Date:	09/30/2014	1	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC		
Requirement Met:	Yes	(4)	
Land Applied:	No		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			7

#### **Compliance Maintenance Annual Report** Last Updated: Reporting For: **Waukesha City** 2014 7/1/2015 002 Outfall Number: 07/22/2014 Method Date: **VSR** Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Requirement Met: Yes Land Applied: No 38 Limit (if applicable): 51.70 Results (if applicable): 002 Outfall Number: 07/22/2014 Method Date: **VSR** Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Requirement Met: Yes No Land Applied: 38 Limit (if applicable): Results (if applicable): 51.70 002 Outfall Number: 12/31/2014 Method Date: Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: **INC** Requirement Met: Yes Yes Land Applied: Limit (if applicable): Results (if applicable): 002 Outfall Number: 12/31/2014 Method Date: INC Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Yes Requirement Met: Yes Land Applied: Limit (if applicable):

Outfall Number:	005
Method Date:	10/31/2014
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INC
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	
Results (if applicable):	

Results (if applicable):

# Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2015 2014

Outfall Number:  Method Date:  10/31/2014  Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:  Requirement Met:  Yes  Land Applied:  Limit (if applicable):  Results (if applicable):  0  5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  O Yes (40 Points)  No  If yes, what action was taken?  6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  > = 180 days (0 Points)  O 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  O 150 - 149 days (20 Points)  O 190 - 119 days (30 Points)  O 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  O 90 days (40 Points)  O 90 days (40 Points)  O N/A (0 Points)  O N/A (0 Points)  OLIT (190 checked N/A above, explain why.		7/1/2015	2014
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:  Requirement Met:  Land Applied:  Limit (if applicable):  Results (if applicable):  O  5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  O Yes (40 Points)  No  If yes, what action was taken?  5. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  > = 180 days (0 Points)  O 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  O 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  O 20 days (40 Points)  O 30 days (40 Points)  O 40 days (40 Points)  O 50 N/A (0 Points)	Outfall Number:	005	
Requirement Met: Land Applied: Limit (if applicable):  Results (if applicable):  Results (if applicable):  0  5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  o Yes (40 Points)  No If yes, what action was taken?  5. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  > = 180 days (0 Points)  o 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  o 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  o 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  o < 90 days (40 Points)  o N/A (0 Points)	Method Date:	10/31/2014	
Land Applied:  Limit (if applicable):  Results (if applicable):  5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  O Yes (40 Points)  No  If yes, what action was taken?  S. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  >= 180 days (0 Points)  O 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  O 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  O 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  O < 90 days (40 Points)  O N/A (0 Points)	Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	
Limit (if applicable):  Results (if applicable):  5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  • Yes (40 Points)  • No  If yes, what action was taken?  5. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  • >= 180 days (0 Points)  • 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  • 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  • 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  • < 90 days (40 Points)  • N/A (0 Points)	Requirement Met:	Yes	
Results (if applicable):  5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  • Yes (40 Points)  • No  If yes, what action was taken?  5. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  • >= 180 days (0 Points)  • 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  • 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  • 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  • < 90 days (40 Points)  • N/A (0 Points)	Land Applied:	Yes	
5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  O Yes (40 Points)  No  If yes, what action was taken?  6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  O = 180 days (0 Points)  O 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  O 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  O 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  O < 90 days (40 Points)  O N/A (0 Points)	Limit (if applicable):		1
5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?  O Yes (40 Points)  No  If yes, what action was taken?  6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  O >= 180 days (0 Points)  O 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  O 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  O 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  O < 90 days (40 Points)  O N/A (0 Points)	Results (if applicable):		0
6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?  • >= 180 days (0 Points)  • 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  • 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  • 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  • < 90 days (40 Points)  • N/A (0 Points)	o Yes (40 Points)  ● No	ss criteria not met at the time of land application?	
	6.1 How many days of actual, current bi facility have either on-site or off-site?  ● >= 180 days (0 Points)  ○ 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  ○ 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  ○ 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  ○ < 90 days (40 Points)  ○ N/A (0 Points)		
7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:  Weather in 2014 was not very cooperative with short windows of application in spring and fall.	I Wednes in Zort was not very coopera	and to with short windows of application in spring and re	4115 []

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

# **Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)**

<ol> <li>Plant Staffing</li> <li>Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?         <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul> </li> <li>If No, please explain:</li></ol>	
<ul> <li>2. Preventative Maintenance</li> <li>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?</li> <li>Yes (Continue with question 2)</li> <li>No (40 points)</li> <li>If No, please explain, then go to question 3:</li> <li>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No (10 points)</li> <li>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Paper file system</li> <li>Computer system</li> <li>Both paper and computer system</li> <li>No (10 points)</li> </ul>	O
<ul> <li>3. O&amp;M Manual</li> <li>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&amp;M Manual that can be used as a reference when needed?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</li> <li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li> <li>Excellent</li> <li>Very good</li> <li>Good</li> <li>Fair</li> <li>Poor</li> <li>Describe your rating:</li> </ul>	

**Waukesha City** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

We are undergoing a major upgrade. Most of this equipment is over 20 years old, it has lasted due to being cared for. Some equipment that is being replaced is being repaired with used parts to make it last until it is replaced.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Compliance Maintenance Annual Report** Last Updated: Reporting For: Waukesha City 7/1/2015 2014 Operator Certification and Education 1. Operator-In-Charge 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year? Yes (0 points) o No (20 points) 0 Name Jeff Harenda Certification No: 31618 2. Certification Requirements 2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.08 and 114.09, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what grade and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what grade and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge? Required: 4 - ACEFGHIJ; A - PRIMARY SETTLING; C - ACTIVATED SLUDGE; E - DISINFECTION; F -ANAEROBIC DIGESTION; G - MECHANICAL SLUDGE; H - FILTRATION; I - PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL; J - LABORATORY Held: 0 Gade 4 in ABCEFGHIJ; grade T in D. A=Primary settling grade4; B=Trickling filters/RBC grade 4; C=Activated sludge grade 4; D=ponds/lagoons grade T; E=Disinfection grade 4; F=Anaerobic digestion grade 4; G=Mechanical sludge grade 4; H=Filtration grade 4; I=Phosphorus grade 4; J=Laboratory grade 4. 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level to operate this plant? Yes (0 points) o No (20 points) 3. Succession Planning 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)? ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator ☐ None of the above (20 points)

### 4. Continuing Education Credits

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

Grades T, 1, and 2:

o Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:

o Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Grades 3 and 4:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- o Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Waukesha City			7/1/2015	2014
Financial Management		281		
1. Provider of Financial Information Name: Rich Abbott Telephone: (262)-524-3556 E-Mail Address (optional): rabbott@ci.waukesha.wi.us			(XXX) XXX-X	xxx
<ul> <li>2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues</li> <li>2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cove treatment plant AND/OR collection system?</li> <li>Yes (0 points)</li> <li>No (40 points)</li> <li>If No, please explain:</li> </ul>	r O&M e	expenses	for your wastew	vater
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue series:    2014	segregat	ed Repla	cement Fund, e	tc.) or
o No (40 points)				
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILIT  3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last revie Year: 2014  • 1-2 years ago (0 points) o 3 or more years ago (20 points) o N/A If N/A, please explain:				10N 3]
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity		8		
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR 3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)		\$ [ \$ [	3,792,177 0	.94
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance		\$	3,792,177	.94
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+	\$ [	692,400	.00
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	-	\$	168,487	.65
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year		\$	4,316,090	.29

#### **Waukesha City**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

UV disinfection upgrade design \$42,550.00 Primary influent pump rebuild \$18,657.60 Primary effluent pump rebuild \$18,657.60 Replaced digester feed grinder \$10,314.00 RAS/WAS pump rebuild parts \$63,250.66 Thickened sludge pump rebuild \$4,920.52 Phosphorus feasibility study \$10,137.27

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

1,558,369.00

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP link under Info in the left-side

- 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?
- Yes
- O No

If No, p	lease ex	plain.
----------	----------	--------

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.
- o No

Project #	Project Description		Approximate Construction Year
1	Major upgrade-to continue into 2016	41800000	2014
2	Construction Administration and post design services. To continue into 2016.	1207745	2014
3	UV disinfection upgrade-to continue into 2016.	3700000	2015
4	Primary influent building slide gate repair	30,000	2015
5	Replace thickener feed pumps	60,000	2015
	6-10 year faciltity plan upgrades, to include phosphorus treatment,cogeneration, and electrical upgrades.	10,500,000	2019

5. Financial Management General Comments

Due to cost changes we may only be rehabilitating two final clarifiers in current upgrade and would add the other two to the next phase of facility upgrade or do as a stand alone replacement fund project.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

### Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

- 1. CMOM Program
- 1.1 Do you have a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance (CMOM) requirement in your WPDES permit?
- Yes
- O No
- 1.2 Did you have a documented (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer collection system operation & maintenance (O&M) or CMOM program last calendar year?
- Yes (Continue with question 1)
- O No (30 points) (Go to question 2)
- 1.3 Check the elements listed below that are included in your O&M or CMOM program.
- ☑ Goals

Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system:

Follow the SSES prepared by Donohue for the City, replacing ferrous force mains, inspection and rehabilitation of manholes and sewer lines to reduce I&I. Follow 20 year CIP for upgading lift stations, trying to reduce the number of them also.

- □ Organization
- Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that apply)?
- ☑ Ownership and governing body description
- ☑ Organizational chart
- □ Personnel and position descriptions
- ☑ Internal communication procedures
- ☑ Public information and education program
- □ Legal Authority
- Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply)?
- Last Revised Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 12/02/14
- ☑ Pretreatment/industrial control Programs
- ☑ Fat, oil and grease control
- ☑ Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial)
- ☑ Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc.)
- ☑ Private lateral inspections/repairs
- ☐ Service and management agreements
- ☑ Maintenance Activities (provide details in question 2)
- □ Design and Performance Provisions

How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly?

- □ DNR NR 110 standards
- □ Local municipal code requirements
- □ Construction, inspection, and testing
- ☐ Others:

$\bowtie$	Overflow	Emergency	Response	Plan:
-----------	----------	-----------	----------	-------

Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that apply)?

- ☑ Alarm system and routine testing

- ☑ Communications/notifications (DNR, internal, public, media, etc.)
- □ Capacity Assurance:

How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following?

Waukesha City			Last Updated: 7/1/2015	Reporting For <b>2014</b>
□ Lift station O&M material     Within your sewer systet     □ Areas with flat sewer     □ Areas with surchard     □ Areas with chronic look     □ Areas with excess ool     □ Areas with heavy rook     □ Areas with excessive     □ Areas with severe     □ Adequacy of capacity     □ Lift station capacity     □ Annual Self-Auditing of implemented, evaluated     □ Special Studies Last Note that the property of the prop	s and specifications ap and wet well capacity informulas and have you identified the same and a constrictions because the same and a constrictions because the same and a constriction of growth a constriction of growth a constriction of growth and a constriction of your O&M/CMOM Program of your O	the following?  SOS accumulation  capacity  ms ram to ensure above companeeded nat apply):	oonents are bein	g
	wer collection system m	1. d. 150%		
Root removal	0	% of system/year		
Flow monitoring	1	% of system/year		
Smoke testing	1	% of system/year		8
Sewer line televising	8	% of system/year		
Manhole	22	% of system/year		
inspections		I 2 5 6		
Lift station O&M	50	# per L.S./year		
Manhole rehabilitation	2.3	% of manholes rehabbed		
Mainline rehabilitation	0.4	% of sewer lines rehabbe	d	
Private sewer inspections	1	% of system/year		
Private sewer I/I removal	1	% of private services		
Please include additional	al comments about your	sanitary sewer collection s	system below:	

#### Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

Lift station O&M above includes weekly inspections to test equipment and pump down wetwells. We had three lift station failures as defined, and one sewer pipe failure. There were 58 after hours call-ins for lift station alarms. Of that 38 were simple communication failures. The other 20 were for some other reason such as a power outage or pump failure. The City recieved 56 complaints which upon inspection all turned out to all be a problem with the residents own lateral and not the City's system. We had four complaints of odor; one of which was recently discovered to be the result of unsanitary conditions at a nieghboring home; one was resolved with the replacement of odor control media at a nearby manhole; One we were testing a different chemical at a lift station for odor control; one is unresolved as we have not been able to pinpoint the source.

#### 3. Performance Indicators

3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.

	ing concern, cyclein and non internation for the past,
33.91	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches
34.61	Annual average precipitation (for your location)
274	Miles of sanitary sewer
39	Number of lift stations
3	Number of lift station failures
- 1	Number of sewer pipe failures
0	Number of basement backup occurrences
0	Number of complaints
9.312	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)
12.370	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)
32.040	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)

#### 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:

Lift station failures (failures/year)
Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)
Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)
Basement backups (number/sewer mile)
Complaints (number/sewer mile)
Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)
Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg)

#### 4. Overflows

	LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OFERFLOWS REPORTED **				
	Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume (MG)	
	12/26/2014 11:30:00 AM - 12/29/2014 11:45:00 AM	Lift Station at 1101 STH 164	Equipment Failure	0.0228 - 0.0228	
	6/24/2014 10:15:00 PM - 6/25/2014 8:00:00 AM	Lift Station at 2210 Springbrook North	Equipment Failure	0.0005 - 0.0005	
	11/25/2014 3:10:00 PM - 11/25/2014 9:20:00 PM	!940 Oakdale Drive	Broken Sewer, Broken Sewer	0.0228 - 0.0228	
200	- 프레이크 시간에 가게 되었다면 한 경상으로 보고 있다면 하는 사람들이 되었다면 다른 사람들이 되었다.	Lift Station at 1101 Meadowbrook Rd, intermittent pump time.	Equipment Failure	0.0376 - 0.0376	

<sup>\*\*</sup> If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

Waukesha City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

What actions were taken, or are underway, to reduce or eliminate SSO or TFO occurences in the future?

The lift station at STH164 is being completely upgraded and will include a drain from the valve vault to wetwell. The Springbrook lift station we are getting quotes to install a valve vault drain, (the faulty valve was replaced). Oakdale Drive lift station is part of a future CIP project to eliminate and consolidate 4 stations into one larger station. The Meadowbrook station is currently under study to also eliminate and consolidate 4 stations on that side of town.

5. Infiltration /	Inflow (	I/I	I)
-------------------	----------	-----	----

- 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?
- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

The City continues to rehabilitate the collection system (relay/line mainline, chimney replacements, chimney seals, grouting, etc.) Reviewing our flow rates at the plant appears to indicate a reduction in overall level of I/I. There are many variables to consider however. In 2015, we plan to monitor a specific area of the City to determine the level of I/I reduction due to recent rehabilitation work.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

Continued flow monitoring in target areas to help plan capitol improvment projects, to include repairs, relining. We are also looking into lift station consolidation in two areas of the City.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Waukesha City** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2015

2014

# **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0029971

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	Α	4	10	40
TSS	Α	4	5	20
Ammonia	Α	4	5	20
Phosphorus	Α	4	3	12
Biosolids	Α	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4
OpCert	Α	4	1	4
Financial	Α	4	1	4
Collection	Α	4	3	12
TOTALS			37	148
<b>GRADE POINT AVER</b>	RAGE (GPA) = 4	***************************************		

#### Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Waukesha City	Last Updated: 7/1/2015	Reporting For:
Resolution or Owner's Statement	8 8	
Name of Governing Body or Owner: City of Waukesha		
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:  Resolution Number:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, E for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported):  Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A		quired
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A	4	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER IN POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)  G.P.A. = 4		