

City Hall Update 9.5.2017

<ul> <li>City Hall Employees:</li> </ul>	67
--	----

• Engineering Annex: 27

Total: 94

#### City Hall Departments:

- Human Resources
- Administration
- Municipal Court
- Community Development
- Building Inspections
- City Attorney's Office
- City Assessor
- Finance
- City Clerk
- Information Technology

#### **Engineering Annex:**

Engineering

Construction Inspection

Solid Waste Management

#### Fleet Vehicles

Community Development/Building Inspections: 7

Engineering: 16

Total Fleet Vehicles: 23


#### Customer Service

Estimated People Served Annually (2016)?


<ul> <li>City Clerk</li> </ul>	11,000
• Court	3,000
<ul> <li>Community Development</li> </ul>	1,600
<ul> <li>Public Meetings</li> </ul>	5,200
General Traffic	9,360
• Engineering	3,300

33,168 Unique Visits/Year


- 2012
  - Complete Municipal Facility Study
    - Joint City Council/Staff Committee
    - Walking Tours
    - Written Surveys
    - Interviews
- City Council Committee Members:
  - Joan Francouer
  - Eric Payne
  - Roger Patton



- 2012
  - Complete Municipal Facility Study
    - Issues Identified:
      - Customer Service Challenges
      - Safety and Security
      - Internal Wayfinding
      - Inefficient Departmental Layout
      - Increasing Capital Improvement Costs



- 2012
  - Complete Municipal Facility Study
    - Sites Reviewed:
      - Current Facility
      - Transit Center
      - Downtown Site
      - South Street Parking Ramp



- 2012 Construction Estimates
  - New Facility on Site
  - Transit Center
  - Downtown Site
  - South Street Parking Ramp

(Difference Due to Demolition Options)

\$12.5 - \$14.6 Million

\$12.8 - \$14.7 Million


Not Estimated

\$21 - \$32 Million

2016 – Commissioned Study by Baird, Bray and CBRE on City

Hall


- City Council Committee Members
  - Eric Payne
  - Joan Francouer
  - Joe Pieper
  - Andy Reiland




2016 – Commissioned Study by Baird, Bray and CBRE on City

Hall

- Reviewed:
  - Existing City Hall Renovation/Expansion
  - New City Hall on same site
  - New City Hall at Transit Center
  - New City Hall at Riverfront Site




- 2016 Key Findings:
  - All options ranged from \$18.1 \$21.8 Million
    - Focused narrowed to:




- Existing Site Build New or Expansion/Renovation
- Riverfront Site


- 2016 Key Findings:
  - Private Development Opportunities:
    - \$7 \$10 Million for City Hall Site (should a move occur)
    - \$20 \$40 Million at Riverfront Site



- 2016 Key Findings:
  - Ownership Favored over Leasing Due to Expenditure Restraint Concerns
  - Condo is multi-tenant building an option



- 2016 Key Findings:
  - Recommendation: Develop City Hall at Riverfront Site
    - Highest potential for redevelopment
    - Potential for additional development around new City Hall



- 2017
  - Additional City owned sites were also reviewed
    - Existing City Hall site is preferred site (If City Owned)


- Emergency City Hall Needs
- Immediate Needs
- Interim Flooding Response/Plan
- Financial Discussion

- Current Issues:
  - Failing Infrastructure
    - Roof
    - Plumbing
    - Corrosion of Sanitary Sewer Pipes
    - HVAC
    - Electrical
    - Exterior Concrete


- Current Issues:
  - Safety and Security
    - No Visitor Access Control
    - Asbestos
    - IT Risk of Flooding
    - No Fire protection

- Current Issues:
  - Functionally Obsolete
    - Customer Service
    - Council Chambers Configuration/Technical Challenges
    - Municipal Court design
    - Lack of Efficiency for staff

- Current Issues:
  - Accessibility and Code Compliance
    - Majority of facility not ADA compliant
    - Does not meet current electrical code
    - Does not meet current fire codes







1<sup>st</sup> Floor Drain to begin backing up

# Why is this a concern?

- Not to current code
  - In today's construction and per NEC code Bus Bars should be located at a min. of 8" from the bottom of the cabinet
  - Also placed on concrete pad to create separation from the floor
- If water reaches the Bus Bars All metal surfaces in City Hall could be become electrified (2,000 amps)
- All water in the immediate area would become electrified
- Electrical intake service panel could explode


#### **Current Plans**

#### Interim Measures:

- Severe weather evacuation plan includes provision for leaving building and sheltering elsewhere
- Monitoring system is installed
- Loss of power intake could mean 4 to 6 weeks of repair time
- Back-up generators only provide power to critical systems

# **Current Plans**






#### **Boiler Room**





Floor-

**IT Offices** 



#### **Asbestos**

Asbestos is classified as a known carcinogen by the EPA and International Agency for Research on Cancer.

Breathing asbestos fibers can cause loss of lung function and cancer such as mesotheliaoma. Some asbestos related diseases occur with only brief exposures.

#### **Asbestos**

# Asbestos Containing Material in City Hall:

- Pipe Insulation Fittings and Pipe Wrap
- Spray Applied Fireproofing
- Ceiling Tiles
- Vinyl Floor Tile and mastic, caulks and glazings

# **Emergency Needs**

<ul> <li>New HVAC System</li> </ul>	\$600,000
<ul> <li>City Hall Roof</li> </ul>	\$925,000
• <u>Electrical Service</u>	\$85,000

\$1,610,000

#### Asbestos Removal \$497,000

Plumbing Upgrades \$338,900

#### Immediate Needs

• Façade Repairs \$250,000

Annex HVAC upgrade \$59,122

Annex plumbing upgrade \$194,300

**TOTAL** \$1,339,322

# Relocation during maintenance process

<ul> <li>Relocation for Staff</li> </ul>	\$819,612
<ul> <li>Moving Cost</li> </ul>	\$130,000
TOTAL	\$949,612

<sup>\*</sup> Due to Asbestos location, relocating staff required

Total Cost to retain existing conditions

\$4 million - 4.6 million

Cost to Fix Existing, Renovate Council Chambers, Safety Improvements to Lobby, Replace windows, and improve door security, communications and electrical subpanels

Total Cost to Fix Existing, Renovate and Expand for IT

 $12.5 - 14.5 \text{ million}^*$ 

\*Does not include space for Engineering

# Total Cost for new building or complete renovation/expansion

\$18 - 20 million\*

\*Includes space for Engineering

#### 2012 Costs vs 2017 Costs

- <u>2012</u>
- Estimated Cost: \$14 Million
- Total Interest: \$3.2 Million
- Total Cost: \$17.2 Million

<u>2017</u>

Estimated Cost: \$18.8 Million

Total Interest: \$6.3 Million

**Total Cost: \$24.4 Million** 

Delay = \$7.2 Million Toost + Interest

#### Cost to Taxpayer

#### Renovation

#### **New Construction**

• \$3 per month \$4 per month

• \$36 per year \$48 per year

Does not include additional City Tax Revenue due to new construction


New Value <u>City Tax Revenue</u>

\$10 Million \$100,000

\$20 Million \$200,000


\$30 Million \$300,000

#### Overall Construction Cost Index (January 2009 = 100)



In Q2-2017, the Milwaukee cost index increased at one of its fastest clips of the last several years—expanding 1.9%. Still, the index is up a somewhat modest 3.2% compared to a year ago due to subdued growth last quarter and in the third quarter of 2016.


#### **30 YEAR TREND IN MUNICIPAL BOND INDICES**



The Bond Buyer "20 Bond Index" (BBI) shows average yields on a group of municipal bonds that mature in 20 years and have an average rating equivalent to Moody's Aa2 and S&P's AA.



#### CIP Trend - Actual



#### 4 Choices:

Fix Existing

Fix and Renovate

Fix and Expand for IT

Build New/Complete Renovation

\$4 - \$4.6 Million\*

\$5.4 - \$6 Million\*

\$12.5 - \$14.5 Million\*

\$18 - \$20 Million

<sup>\* -</sup> Significant Operational Impacts (Ex. Relocation of Staff, Closures of building)