These photo's show's Mr. Huelsman has not fullfilled his agreed too promise from Planning Commission 04/10/2013





Picture token October - 2017

By: Alderman Kathleen Cummings





landscaping added to this with plantings near the building on all sides but the north side because there isn't much room. Again, this was approved by the Landmarks Commission in March. (Please see Department Comments at the end of the Minutes.) With these comments, Staff recommends approval.

7 8 9

Ald. Skinner said when it was before the Landmarks Commission, was there any discussion about when the addition in the back is torn down and the new addition is put on, what type of windows would they use? He knew they had some challenges around low-e glass with new additions and having that match with older windows on different structures. Was anything mentioned? Mr. Fortin said they discussed windows but they did not discuss the ones on the addition. They were focused more on one that probably would need to be replaced because of the way the gable roof would tie in. But there wasn't much discussion on the windows in the back. Ald. Skinner said he was asking more specifically about the glass itself and not the frame, the reflectiveness and that kind of thing. There are other homes in the district where they could significantly tell the difference. Mr. Fortin said nothing about the glass was discussed; it was more about the window material and the replacement verses repair.

Mr. Lostetter said this particular residence will be the last one they own on Wright Street. The address is technically Charles but most of us think of it on Wright Street. It will house the Human Resources Department and probably (inaudible) sustainability. One of the reasons, in addition to the fact that the addition(s) on the back were in quite poor condition, it was also at a different elevation when inside. It was pretty much impossible to do handicap on the first floor. That also necessitated the fact that they tear it off and put a new one on. It will be much more functional and they will make it look as much look what was there. It won't be entirely possible because they have to raise the ceiling height slightly to make up for the gain of floor space on the addition so it is level with the rest of the first floor.

Ald. Skinner said he was glad to see that something was happening with this house. He wondered about the landscaping. Mr. Lostetter said hopefully by the end of spring it will have a large willow tree. It is hanging over the house and willow trees have lots of issues anyway and he knows there is an overgrowth of some of the lower bushes. They need to open it up and let it breathe and with a new paint job it will look much better. They will also have a nice area to landscape as well because it is a pretty prominent corner coming in onto Wright Street.

Mr. Hoppe thanked them for the work being done on the one facing East Avenue. He was in the coffee shop café the other day and half the people were watching the paint job and he hopes the weather breaks so they can get it finished. Mr. Lostetter said from a historic perspective he thought the house he was talking about and this one are about the same age, which puts them about the late 1870's.

Ald. Skinner said he asked the question earlier about the glass and window frames. Was there any discussion about that at the Landmarks Commission because it had come up in the past. He said a word of caution; some of the lower grade floating glass doesn't always turn out as nice as they would like it to.

Ald. Skinner made the motion to approve the modifications and conditional use permit and Mr. Congdon offered the second.

Ald. Skinner said he wanted to echo his previous comments and the continued investments being made along this particular corridor in this district and the neighborhood. Carroll is certainly one of the larger neighbors in the neighborhood but it is a continuation of what they have been seeing there and he thanked them.

Mayor Scrima thanked the University as well. He said it is easy to approve these because they have done such an outstanding job in renovating their properties.

The motion passed unanimously.

SITE PLAN & ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Final



ARIES PARKING LOT RENOVATION - 550 ELIZABETH STREET - A request from ADH Construction LLC and Aries Industries Inc. to approve plans for a new parking lot configuration for the industrial building at 550 Elizabeth Street. Last discussed August 8, 2012

*

Mr. Jeff Fortin said this was before them in August and it was placed on hold so the applicant could have a neighborhood meeting. Some of the concerns that were brought up at the time were that the Commission wanted a submittal of an overall new landscaping plan, especially to help screen the new parking lot from the properties on Elizabeth Street. There were concerns about the truck traffic using Elizabeth Street, concerns about stormwater and standing water and mosquitos. Another concern was submittal of a certified survey map to combine all these properties. Many of these concerns were addressed with this plan. The stormwater pond will be a dry basin and not a wet pond, the landscape as well. They will add new truck directional signs to direct the trucks through the site and to use Dunbar instead of using Elizabeth. The surrounding homes have all been razed now and are all gone. He pointed out the existing parking lots. One lot will be dug out and used for greenspace. The code requires a 40-foot setback between a parking area and right-of-way, although that could be reduced if a landscaping berm is added. Staff met with the applicant and they agreed to put in a berm. It will be a solid wall with screening, a mix of different plants, to make sure the headlights will not shine into the homes on Elizabeth Street. They also will expand a secure parking area over a little bit. There is a lot of natural and existing vegetation along the entire property line. In lieu of them having to put additional plantings there they could concentrate the plantings up towards Elizabeth Street. That area will have the most impact and help screen the neighbors. We had originally asked them to do some landscaping in the islands and put trees in each one. They also now decided they would like to do some lights in there. They will be doing some lower level ground plantings and in lieu of that Staff would like to work with them to come up with new landscaping plans where they could have some trees to help shade the parking lot. There was one island that was big enough that accommodate both the light and a tree. Mr. Fortin pointed out other areas where they should have trees to help shade the parking lot since shade would not be provided in the islands due to the lights. Staff would also need to approve a lighting plan for this. Details of the retaining wall were also provided. The pond would be a dry stormwater pond and there would be no standing water unless there is a rain event. That was one of the concerns that the neighbors had, that it would be a wet pond with mosquitos.

Mr. Fortin presented the overall site layout. He pointed out the landscaping and the screening that already existed. The landscaping should be concentrated along the front. Staff recommends approval of the project subject to submitting a new landscaping plan showing the 2-3 foot high berm and a solid line of landscape plantings. This also includes having trees around the parameter of the parking lot to help with the shading in lieu of putting them in the islands. They also need to submit a certified survey map to combine all the parcels as well as details of the lighting plans. Because they will be removing pavement in one of the parking lots, which is where the Fire Department connection was, they will need to go to the Fire Department to determine an appropriate location for their new Fire Department connection. (Please see Department Comments at the end of the Minutes.)

Ald. Francoeur asked if Mr. Fortin could point out where the retaining wall will be located. There was one by the shipping/receiving area and he pointed out where the other would be. Ald. Francoeur said she thought some of the plans said remove and looking at the plans received, it almost looked as if there was nothing to one side and yet there was a large expanse of functional buildings. Mr. Fortin said the building is still there. The removal is not about buildings. It was the parking lot. She said she was encouraged with the discussion about the berm because the sidewalk along the Aries property is almost right in the street and doesn't really offer a whole lot of opportunity and to soften them from the residential homes right across the street. She was encouraged because this is a for-profit business and they are doing things to make it aesthetically pleasing and a good neighbor. Mr. Fortin said and there are some nice mature trees there and in their demo work they have tried to save some of those as well.

 Mr. Hoppe said at the last meeting they were concerned with some of the parking, along Franklin. Cars deliberately park there and trucks could not make the turn. Was there any restrictions put on any of the parking areas along there? Mr. Fortin said there had not been any street parking restrictions added or anything. They will try to encourage them to use a different route and keep them off of there and using Dunbar. There will be signage put up.

Mr. Alan Huelsman of 235 W. Broadway said he believed they addressed everything that came up at the prior meeting. They had a neighborhood meeting and it was well attended. They received quite a bit of input and they took it into consideration in the plan. He thought at the end everyone was happy. It should be a significant upgrade to the neighborhood. The new parking lot should be very nice with the new landscaping and the curbed parking lot. It will be a lot easier with snow removal and all around it should be a very nice upgrade. They will be adding signage to discourage truck traffic from going onto Elizabeth Street. The trucks will be directed out to Dunbar, and then Marshal, and then out to Prairie. They can't always prevent people from coming in from Elizabeth Street but they can certainly encourage them to exit out towards Dunbar.

Mr. Nick Kroll, the CEO of Aries at 550 Elizabeth Street, introduced himself. The company is excited about this plan. In the two years he has been with the organization they have taken their presence within the neighborhood very seriously. They really have not had any issues with the neighbors. They have been very supportive. He, as well all the employees at Aries, is excited about the opportunities to not only expand the parking to accommodate the business but to make the building more presentable to the neighborhood and have it be more integrated into the neighborhood. He was excited about the greenspace they would be including. They anticipate that it will not only be utilized by the employees of Aries but also by the immediate neighbors. With the landscape plan and the expanded parking, it should work well within the neighborhood.

Ald. Francoeur applauded the fact that one of the things she had been remarking about ever since she joined the Plan Commission is the desire of many businesses to asphalt everything and have it be the first thing that everybody sees about a business. It is delightful to see on these plans removal of asphalt. It also would be accessible for the neighborhood and that is wonderful.

Mayor Scrima made the motion to approve the changes and Ald. Skinner offered the second.

Mayor Scrima thanked Aries and Mr. Kroll for coming back with a significantly updated plan. They are glad to have them in the community.

The motion passed unanimously.

HILLCREST APARTMENTS – S. WEST AVE., DODIE DR. & GRAND AVE. – A request from Partners in Design Architects and Varin Hillcrest, LLC to consider approving final plans for building and site improvements to buildings at 1905 S. West Ave., 313-347 Dodie Dr. and 1804-1926 Grand Ave. Last discussed March 27, 2013

Mr. Doug Koehler said this was before them in March and it was tabled for more architectural details. In looking at the aerial photograph the property is located off of Dodie and Grand and one building at the corner of Dodie and West. There are a total of 15 buildings. They are all identically shaped and looking at the photos of the building the all look basically identical. The only difference between the buildings it the color of the brick below the siding on some of the buildings that change to a grayish color. In August of 2012 there was one building that came before the Commission to be renovated. It received approval and Mr. Koehler presented pictures of that building with the renovations. Exterior improvements of that included new siding, textured cement board, new windows, a secure front entryway, and security cameras. They recessed the air conditioners a little more as well. They also did interior renovations to the buildings. The first floor level apartments were all made accessible. For all units, first and second floor, they were given new cabinets, flooring, appliances and fixtures. He presented pictures of completed work done in the interior. As going down Dodie Drive heading east towards Grand, the buildings are basically all lined up there along the street all in a same similar pattern. Also looking down Grand Avenue it is a line up of identical buildings. At the last meeting they proposed using basically the same architectural style and there were several color modifications added to the siding to create a little diversity on the site. At that point the Commission decided to table it and wanted to see a little more architectural diversity on the buildings as far as the siding and things like that.

The applicant has looked at the buildings again and has tried to come up with some new ways to do the siding. They felt strongly that the siding pattern and style that they have is what they would like to use. But what they have chosen to do is to use a much bolder color pallet on the buildings in order to get more contrast on the buildings themselves. They feel that by alternating these different colors along the street they will hopefully get the diversity that the Plan Commission was looking for and a variety of street presence in the neighborhood. As far as the landscaping plan, they had provided adequate foundation plantings on all the buildings. A lot of the buildings currently do not have anything around the foundations at all. Some have one shrub or an overgrown shrub. The plan will provide foundation plantings with a variety of shrubs around all of the buildings. At the last meeting they discussed the number of shade trees in the site. There are some at the front of the buildings but there really is nothing at the rear of the site. They now have an additional 15-16 shade trees on the site. There is a shade tree between every building. Staff thinks this looks much nicer in tying in with the site. There already is a nice spread of trees along the front. A few more were added to the front in their plans and more trees were in the back. The landscaping-plan looks quite nice. They also discussed bicycle storage. In talking with the architect, they decided they will provide bicycle racks, several sets spread out throughout the development. But they don't have the final location yet. The goal is to have several sets between every couple of buildings to get an even dispersion and have a place for people to store their bikes outside at the rear sides of the buildings so they wouldn't be visible from the street.

Another point of discussion at the last meeting was the trash enclosures. They originally proposed a wood trash enclosure. They had bollards shown inside the enclosure to protect the wall from any sliding or moving dumpsters. There was a lengthy discussion on whether those should be masonry or not. The opinion of the Commission was that it should be masonry and the applicant was asked to look at that again. They did that but, after further consideration it was determined that the masonry closures would be a deal breaker on this project and they would not be able to afford it. They have come back with wood enclosures again. At Staff level they discussed this and should the wood enclosures be approved then as a conditional of approval they would like to have a clause that when such enclosures are damaged the enclosures must be repaired in a timely fashion, to be included in the motion if the Commission decides to allow for wood trash enclosures. At the last meeting the drawing showed them at six feet and the minimum height should be seven feet. The new enclosures proposed would be seven feet tall and have wooden gates on them as well, all made out of new materials. There would be bollards inside to protect them from the rolling dumpsters that are used. Also, Mr. Koehler said he had a discussion with Ald. Kalblinger, the Alderman of the district, said he would also like the applicant to continue to collaborate with the Waukesha Police Department with the potential installment of the opticop system in this neighborhood. Ald. Kalblinger also would like to make sure bike racks are installed in the site. Staff would like to see that on a revised site plan once they decide where they would be placed. (Please see Department Comments at the end of the Minutes.) In looking at the plans at Staff level, they were disappointed with the repeating architectural treatments and again the proposal for the wood enclosures. In looking at the neighborhood and discussing this with Ald. Kalbinger, they recognize that a fresh look is needed in this neighborhood to upgrade the area and the bolder color schemes will provide some variety to the street presence of the buildings verses what is out there now with just the solid row of identical buildings lining the streets. Noting that, Staff is in favor of this project with some additional discussion from the Commission concerning their requests from the previous meeting.

Ald. Skinner said in looking at one of the pictures presented on side of a building, there is a sheen between the windows on the newer material. Is that because of the paint being used or is it because of the actual material? Mr. Koehler said that is the finish on the new siding.

Mr. Tom O'Connell of 600 52nd Street in Kenosha introduced himself. This is a WHEDA tax credit project and it is a three million dollar plus reinvestment of these 15 buildings. As mentioned at the last meeting, they are kind of obligated by WHEDA to make certain improvements to the building. He wanted to recap that it includes new finishes throughout the interior to the building and the common stainvell, new interior doors, new flooring, new kitchen cabinets and appliances, new plumbing fixtures, new energy efficient lighting, new outlets and switches, new mini blinds, new kitchen doors, balcony doors, and windows, new handicap accessible ramps to the 12 visible units, automatic door openers, free WiFi access for the tenants, and a new

Han submitted and appeared by Planning Commission on 04-10-2013 SCALE: 1" = 30' R.W.JOHNSON & ASSOC. ENGINEERS - SURVEYORS 20711 WAIRESHA, WI S3186 PHONE: (202) 708-0890 FAX: (202) 798-1991 LANDSCAPE RENOVATION PLAN CITY OF WAUKESHA

ARIES INDUSTRIES INC.
850 Eltaboli Street
Waukeshe Wij 35168 <u>ВОАМИ В Т. В. ТОВ. ТОУ/2012 МРИОСТВ ВОЕ ОТ</u>

<u>ОССОСТО ВТ. ТОЯ ТОЯТ В ТОЯ ТОЯТ В ТОЯ</u> (1) VIB (2) EARB B' ASPIM, T 설 一個 (1986) 88 104040 LAWN AREA
TOPSOL, FERTILZE, SED, AND
MILCH ALL DISTURBED AREBYS.
SOD ALL DISTURBED AREBYS.
SOD ALL DISTURBED AREBYS. WITHIN A. OF PEDESTRIAN WITHIN A. εğ 22 (5) GLBA (4) EARB (11) BSJ (6) AWS (2) RJB | Thuid occidentalis "Woodwardii" | Thuid occidentalis "Smaragd" | Thuid occidentalis "Senaragd" | Thuid occidentalis "Techny" | Thuid occidentalis "Techny" | Juniperus sabina "Brodmor" | 15 occidentalis albunbergii occidentalis "Spirage Japonica" Anthony Waterer 17 Berberis thunbergii occidentalis Spirage Japonica Goldmound" | 10 occidentalis Spirage Japonica Coldmound" | 11 occidentalis Spirage Patula "Miss Kimigled Teleditalis "Skyrage" | 12 occidentalis "Skyrage" | 13 occidentalis "Skyrage" | 14 occidentalis "Skyrage" | 15 o 22 EXISTING BLOCK BUILDING TO REMAIN IN PLACE
(2) GLBA 25 40 22 EXISTING LIMESTONE WALL SALVAGE AND REBUILD INPLACE WASHED RIVER PEBBLE STONE LANDSCAPE BED W/ EDGING (2) BSJ (5) RJB C. C. C. C. C. (2) GNMS (1) CNM (1) SKY 5/ RECEIVING | 0.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | Globe Arborvitee (12) DOG (1) CKM TO STATE OF THE ST 147 100 OF BODI BALL LIUDH 10 BALLANG SON 1-27 R. FOCKS IN "LOW! BODI COPER IN THE OF THE POSIT BALL WITH SIZE IN THE OF THE POSIT BALL OF SACE WALCH IN CONTACT WITH THE LIBERT IN CONTACT EXISTING BLOCK BUILDING
No. 574 & 576 ELIZABETH ST
No. 227 DUNBAR
BULDING HEIGHT 18.4' & 19.7' BOARD BUT ANY LOW BRANCHS PLANT LIST CODE QUAN GLBA 6 EARB 14 EVERGREEN TREE PLANTING DETAIL ff.L. 31,85 ASPHALT I Î (3) [[[⊗ (S) (2) VIB ¬ DECODOUS TREE PLANTING DETAIL 10 CHORDODE PREVENTE SHALL MAYE UNDERGRICHNO UTUTIES LOCATED BY DIGGERS 10 CHULGE SHAPE TO BRITALINDE. A LOCATED BY DIGGERS 10 CHULGE SHAPE TO BRITALINDE. A LAND AS TO MALENE CONTINUED TO THE CHUCKEN THE CHURCH STEM RECOMMENDED LET OR A PROPRIED TO THE CHURCH STAN RECOMMENDED LET OR A PROPRIED TO THE CHURCH SHAPE TO CHURCH WITH MALL ALP CHURCH STAN RECOMMENDED LET OR A PROPRIED TO THE CHURCH SHAPE TO CHURCH SHA The state of the s Control with 15 thanks programmed to the control of the control of

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

SITE PLAN AND ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW – ARIES PARKING LOT RENOVATION – 550 ELIZABETH STREET – A request from ADH Construction LLC and Aries Industries Inc. to approve plans for a new parking lot configuration for the industrial building at 550 Elizabeth Street.

Ms. Jennifer Andrews said this was an existing manufacturing facility on Elizabeth Street, which is west of N. West Avenue. They currently have about 75 parking stalls on site and need additional parking for employees and visitors. They are proposing to remove the four homes that they own along Elizabeth Street and construct a parking lot in that location. Also they would remove an existing parking lot in the center of the property and expand the parking lot on the east side of the property. In the code a setback along the right-of-way in this instance would normally be 40 feet for the parking lot. But the code says it can be reduced to 20 feet when there is a landscape berm buffer between the parking and the lot line. They are requesting that the 20 foot setback along the roadway for the parking lots. The existing landscaping was shown on the plan but no additional landscaping was shown. Staff would like a formal landscape plan showing the landscape buffer in front of both parking areas as required by code. Also, this parking lot abuts residential zoning on the side and the setback for a parking lot is 25 feet. At the narrowest spots of the new expanded parking lot the setback is about 13.9 feet and in the rear it is a little bit wider at about 22 feet, They are asking from the Plan Commission for some relief from the setback requirement as well. When Staff looked at this, and in both cases whether it is the front setback or the side setback, if they met setback requirements to get the number of parking stalls required they would be moving much further into a greenspace in front of the building. In fact, most of that would be taken up with parking lot so that it would greatly reduce the greenspace. In this case they would have to have a 24 foot wide driveway and also another row or two of parking in another area for circulation. So they would be taking up most of the greenspace. If they shifted the whole area of parking back to meet the 40 foot setback requirement, again to make up for the loss in stalls, they would be moving in towards the center of the property into the greenspace. Ultimately what would happen would be that the entire front area of the building would end up being a parking lot, they would have more greenspace except on the edge and along the street. That would be the trade off. Staff felt in this situation that adding additional landscaping along the street as a buffer, which is something that they have done in many other locations and asking them to provide landscaping along the side lot line as well would be a good compromise instead of just paving over the entire front yard of this property. They also would expand the rear parking lot by eight feet for secure truck parking. That would be a little different configuration than what it was being used for right now. There was an existing chain link fence along the back parking lot that would be re-used for the security portion of that lot. Landscaped islands were shown. There were two dedicated islands in each parking lot and then peninsulas that came in. Staff would like to see details on the landscape species and how they would landscape those as well. There were also three new retaining walls near the loading docks. Staff would like details regarding the height of the walls and the materials.

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49 As part of this plan they would be constructing a new stormwater pond that would be required to handle the drainage from the parking lot area. They were working with the Engineering Department for the size of the pond as well as all the details. The new parking lot should be curbed and the Staff would like to see curbing shown along the existing asphalt areas as well to protect the greenspace. Again, they were expanding this parking lot to the east and Staff would like to see it curbed in that location as well so that the landscaping is protected from trucks. If there was an intension for lights in the parking lot, they would need to submit a lighting plan and conform to the City standards and zoning code requirements. In conclusion, Staff was comfortable with the overall layout of the parking lot but more details would need to be provided – a detailed landscape plan, lighting details, and retaining wall details. In removing the four homes, as they have been doing recently in some other situations with parking lots where they were combining lots to create parking, Staff would like to see them combine all of those residential lots in with the manufacturing lots. This would alleviate any kind of internal setback issues that there might be. (Please see Department comments at the

end of the Minutes.) With these comments, Staff felt comfortable in working out the landscaping details and other details with the developer if the Commission had the same level of comfort with the plans.

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

1

2

4

Ald. Francoeur said she would like to be sure that the landscaping buffers the residential area. She recalled a while back when some parking restrictions were suggested for this road and it was very difficult for everybody - the residents, the owner of the business, and so forth. That was a time when she had a chance to go through the neighborhood. Many times when they see landscape plans and they show little circles, it all looks great, and when she looks at it later it is a tiny little bush that is less than a foot high and doesn't do the buffering or the aesthetics, softening that the graphic does. She personally wanted to comment that the landscaping along the side and the front especially because of the residents living across the street need to be mature and need to be robust so that they do fulfill their purpose. Sometimes they are told not to do that because of security reasons but she did not think that applied in this instance. Ms. Andrews said the zoning code wording said solid screen landscaping. Yes, the plant material should be dense and create a solid screening. Ald. Francoeur asked if she had to guess on the height of the solid screen of the plantings, what would it be? Ms. Andrews said they usually say three to four feet because that would be high enough to block the headlights from the cars and so they would not be shining out across the street. Ald. Francoeur asked what the indentations were. Ms. Andrews said the peninsulas coming into the parking lot, yes, they would also want to see trees mixed in there as well. But definitely a solid screen along the front and then trees where possible in the islands and the peninsulas as well as along the lot line. There were a number of trees in the existing greenspace and they would be restoring that part when they tear out the existing lot. Ald. Francoeur thought this was a lovely opportunity. It must mean that if they need more parking business is doing well. If they could integrate the aesthetic and if they have the opportunity to help beautify the City while doing a functional project, that would be desirable.

Mr. Dave Krug of 131 Franklin Avenue said he saw the original plat on this. They want a stormwater retention pond directly in front of his house, right on the corner of Elizabeth and Franklin. Apparently nobody had this plat. Ms. Andrews pointed out the pond at the front entry drive. It was to the right of the drive. Mr. Krug said that was right across the street from his front yard. He asked how big was the pond? Ms. Andrews said there was a flat area along the sidewalk and it sloped down a couple feet into the center of the pond and then up. Width wise it was about 30 feet wide. Mr. Krug asked why the pond couldn't be put back to the left up the driveway? He understood that they were going to close off Marshal Street, which is where the original trucking was supposed to be coming and up into the area. They still come down by his area. Granted, it is only three or four trucks a day and it is not bad. But the original drawing for the area was to come in through the back way. As far as the pond, it should not be dead set right in front of his house. Is this still in a TIF district? Ms. Andrews said yes, it is. Mr. Krug asked if he was paying for this? Ms. Andrews said no; Aries is paying for their own improvements. Mr. Krug asked what was the additional parking spaces? Ms. Andrews said it goes from 75 to 117. Mr. Krug said he assumed they would be planning on hiring another 40-50 people. He said he has no problem with Aries. They have been good neighbors, they have been quiet, and they have not had any issues with them. But he did have issues with where some of these things were going.

40 41 42

43

44

Another comment was asked if the retention pond could handle a good snow? It gets pretty wet sometimes. Ms. Andrews said the applicant has been working with the Engineering Department and they have been doing stormwater management studies to determine how large the pond needs to be so it can accommodate any of the stormwater coming from that setting.

45 46 47

48

49

50

51

Ald. Francoeur said Mr. Krug seemed to be concerned about the pond and its location with regard to his home. Mr. Krug said actually the neighbors in this area were very concerned with what transpired a couple years back with them wanting to put apartment buildings back there. That is a big concern as a neighborhood. They argued against it back then. To them it looked like this could be a prelim to maybe tearing down Purity and putting up another bunch of apartments. Ald. Francoeur said if they could focus on

the retention pond, if the pond goes in as planned and is across from his home, the Plan Commission's experience with retention ponds is they are landscaped and beautified and have natural grasses. She was struggling with what his objection was. Mr. Krug said they also hold standing water. That would be one load of mosquitoes. If they have some way of getting the water out of the retention pond, that is fine. But they don't have a mosquito problem now but if they happen to get a heavy rain, the standing water sitting there for a month creates a lot of bugs, besides the fact that it is right across the street from his window. It should be off to the left if anything. There is plenty of space back over to the left of where the drive is to put that pond. Ald. Francoeur said also Mr. Krug would like to hear from the applicant about their future plans for the property because of prior concerns.

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

1 2

3

5

6

7 8

> Mr. Alan Huelsman of 235 W. Broadway said he was one of the owners of the property. To address some of the concerns, the plan is the plan. They don't have any other plans beyond this and there was no plan to do apartments or anything like that. That was taken off the table a couple years ago. They plan to make this a permanent, long-lasting home for Aries, which was why they want to do the improvements. They have a new management team in place at Aries and they have done quite a lot of work inside and outside the building and they want to continue improving the site and the street presence of Aries. They have new signage in place and this is all part of that. They want to construct a new landscaped parking lot. They were closing quite a few driveway approaches. He thought there were five or six driveway approaches that get closed in this project and he thought this would be quite a large upgrade. There seemed to be some confusion on the pond. This was not a wet pond. It was a dry pond - a grassy area, a grassy depression. It was a requirement in the case of a heavy rain. They have to store the rainwater so that it can soak in and get returned to the aquifer. There was a pipe going out of that area to the west into the storm sewer. It would not be a wet pond. Water would flow into that area, soak into the ground, and return to the aquifer. Any excess would flow out of the pipe to the west. It is a mowed grass area. It would be 10-12 feet back from the sidewalk before it starts and it would be a depressed area that would hold water in the event of a large rainfall. He has been working with Staff and the Engineering Department and they intend to landscape it. They would retain the trees that they can along the front. There are some very nice full-size trees. A lot of the trees on the site aren't in particularly good condition and some have to be removed because of the grade changes. But there were a few along the front that they would retain. There would be additional landscaping put in and the goal was to give Aries a very nice street presence. Regarding the truck traffic, right now one of the other intended goals was that they right now had no opportunity for tractor trailers to turn around at the Aries loading dock. They have to exit to the east out onto Elizabeth Street. In this plan the tractor trailers would make a y-turn and get out of the loading dock area. The intension was to bring the truck traffic into the site from the west, off of Dunbar or Franklin, but not on Elizabeth Street. That is a large improvement. The trucks can't make the turn out of the parking lot onto Elizabeth Street right now. They overrun the landscaping where the driveway approach is off of Elizabeth Street. They actually removed the driveway that went across the front of the building. So there would be absolutely no opportunity for truck traffic to go to the east and try to come out of the driveway approach to the east, which is what they do not want. They would be bringing all the trucks in and out of the property to the west and it would be employee parking only on the east lot. He thought it was a good upgrade all the way around.

43

Mayor Scrima thanked Mr. Huelsman for his investments in this property and the improvements to the parking and the landscaping. Since there were a number of neighbors present, would he be open to having a neighborhood meeting? Mr. Huelsman said yes.

48 49

50 51 Mr. Scott Greene of 606 Hamilton said he is located on the corner to the Aries property. What would this do to the home values? Right now they just had Franklin redone, Elizabeth was done a couple years ago, Hamilton was just done, but with this heavy truck traffic, who would pay for the improvements with the truck traffic? Mr. Huelsman said there would be no additional truck traffic. The truck traffic would be the same as it was. The truck traffic would be moved off of Elizabeth Street. Mr. Greene said he could stop the truck traffic easily by just parking his car on the street by his house. If he sees a truck can't make it he moves his

5

1 2

3

6

9

10

Personally he would rather look at houses than a parking lot, but he also did not like the retention pond. They had a whole area to the west that was just in shambles. It could all be redone and cleaned up and used as a parking lot instead of getting rid of the houses that are currently there. The truck traffic that comes down Franklin and Hamilton are supposed to be coming from the far side and not down that street in the first place. Yet, they still come down that street. They should be coming in the west side where Purity was and they don't do that. Mayor Scrima said that also could be addressed at a neighborhood meeting.

15

16

situation.

17 18 19

21 22

31

37

38

43

44 45

50

car. There are also a lot of children in the neighborhood also. They said the retention pond would be landscaped and cut. Would there be a fence around it in a heavy rain? Mayor Scrima said it sounded as if these are questions that could be addressed at a neighborhood meeting. Mr. Kurt Mettesheim of 547 Elizabeth Street said he was right across the street from the green patch.

Mr. Huelsman said they could try to do some improved signage. Their goal is to bring the trucks in from the west side and get them off of Elizabeth Street. They cannot control what street every truck drives on. But they would post signs as part of this project and they would direct trucks to come in from the west. There would be no trucks entering or exiting on the east side of the property. He thought it would improve the

Ms. Pam Greene of 606 Hamilton asked how this would affect the value of her house when there were homes there to begin with. Now they would have a parking lot and retention pond. They did not keep up their end of the deal. It looks crummy back there. They have a lot of school kids who cut through there. She wondered how it would affect the value of her house when she tries to sell it in a couple years.

Another comment was made if they can get property values from the Assessor's Office and Mayor Scrima said these were all valid questions and could be addressed at the neighborhood meeting. Typically the developer is open to discuss all these questions at the neighborhood meeting before coming back to this Commission. He suspected when it does come back to the Commission all these questions would be answered and they would be able to move forward with a plan that everyone is happy with.

Mr. Tom Turner of 204 Dunbar Avenue, about a block away. To be honest, this gentleman came before this Commission two years ago without ever having to talk with any of the neighbors about putting in 257 apartments. What is going to happen? If he didn't do it two years ago, why all of a sudden now? If this is preliminary, this same client might say he will go back to his apartment complex. Two years ago he did not have a meeting with the neighbors. This is the first time they have heard about it, the same back then. They only heard about it from other people in the City. Do they have questions? Of course they do. Do they have doubts? Yes, they do. The first step is to improve the property. Next step, since they did this, where does this go?

Mr. Huelsman said he was not quite sure what to say of that. He thought this was a huge improvement to the site. They are making a large investment in doing this. This will cost a lot of money and is a big investment on his part. The goal is to improve the street presence of Aries and make this area substantially nicer than it is today. The space is zoned for the proposed use and he thought the proposal was pretty nice. He asked that they act on this tonight.

Mr. Mettesheim said once they get rid of all the houses that are now blocking the area that isn't marked out for the upgrades, all that looks like absolute crud. It might be upgrading their little picture of Aries but it opens up a whole mess of ugliness that they don't take care of. There are dumpsters that have been sitting there forever that people go in and out of it at night and it looks like crap. They would open that up for everybody to view. What is the plan for fixing all that up?

believed it was.

8 9

 SITE PLAN AND ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW – HUMANE ANINMAL WELFARE SOCIETY (H.A.W.S.) – 701 NORTHVIEW ROAD – A request from H.A.W.S. and Gerald Nell Inc. to approve plans for a 1,519sq. ft. addition to the east side of the building at 701 Northview Road.

Mayor Scrima made the motion to put this item on hold so that the owner/developer can have a neighborhood meeting, and once they do that it can be brought before the Commission. Mr. Congdon offered the second.

Mayor Scrima said the property to the left, was that owned by the same owner? Ms. Andrews said she

Mr. Keller said since there was question about landscaping, could they make a friendly amendment to the motion to also request a landscape plan for review? Mayor Scrima and Mr. Congdon agreed.

Mr. Larson said in reference to the neighborhood meeting, he remembered attending the first one when they first came into the area and the neighbors showed up. He encouraged neighbors to be there as well as City Staff and even the Assessor's Office and to get as much professional opinion on house values.

The motion passed unanimously.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - CARROLL UNIVERSITY - CHARLES HOUSE - 201 N. CHARLES STREET - A request from Carroll University and Keller Architectural Design to consider approving a conditional use permit for repair and improve a retaining wall and patio space behind the building at 201 N. Charles Street.

Mr. Doug Koehler presented an aerial photograph of the property in question. This was on the far east end of the Carroll University campus, located at the end of Wright Street, the eastern end, with Charles Street. This was in the Rd-2 zoning district. As such college and university uses are a conditional use in that district. At the rear of the building was a recessed patio and they would like to do some improvements. It was not visible from the street but it would be exterior changes to the property and they wanted this to be brought before the Commission. He presented a picture of the back of the building where the recessed patio was located. There was a wall coming apart. They would like to push back the dirt that falls onto the patio and hold it up with a four-foot retaining wall, creating more useable patio space and improving the overall situation back there. The patio space would be about five feet wider, going from 136 square feet of space to about 1,000 square feet. There would be a four-foot high retaining wall with a safety rail at the top of the wall and then room for landscaping before putting a new curb in along the parking lot. Staff did not see a need for any conditions as to how this would integrate with the neighborhood since it is set behind the building and rather secluded. Staff would be in favor of the conditional use permit.

Mr. Larson asked if this was a historical building? Mr. Koehler said the building is not historic but it is within the district. This went before the Landmarks Commission last week and they gave approval to the project.

Mr. Ron Lostetter of 145 S. Charles said the space itself was really not useful because of the condition of the wall and he thought this would obviously solve that issue. They have about 27 residents staying here and they would like to improve it and make it useable and this project will do that.

Mr. Larson made the motion to approve the Conditional Use Permit to repair and improve the retaining wall and patio space and Mr. Hoppe offered the second. The motion passed with six yes votes and one abstention (Keller).

SCALE: 1" = 40' PARKING LOT RENOVATION PLAN 20711 WATERTOWN ROAD SATE A WALKESHA, WI 53189 FAX: (202) 788-1931 CITY OF WAUKESHA
ARIES INDUSTRIES INC.
S50 Elizabeth Street
Waukesha WI 33186 Commission wanted more landscaping LANDS CONTAIN 7.01 ACRES PARKING LOT RENOVATION PLAN & COVER SHEET PARKING LOT RENOVATION PLAN PROPOSED
PARKING STALLS = 112
HANDL-AP STALLS = 2
TRUCK TOTAL 117 ZONING M-1 STORM SEWER UTILITY PLAN EXISTING SITE CONDITION ARIES INDUSTRIES INC. AND USE SUMMARY DEMOLITION PLAN SHEET INDEX PART OF LOT 13 BLOCK "A"! PARCEL F 55 **z**ã COMPANY ---- R.O.W. OWNER: WISCONSIN RIVER RAIL TRANSIT WHITES SECOND ADTION SS TO PRAIREMALE THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P PARKING LOT REMOVAL ARIES INDUSTRIES INC.
EXISTING BLOCK BUILDING
No. 550
BULDNG HEIGHT 215' & 01.15' 20' WIDE PUBLIC ALLEY 23 23 ASPIALT PANNO
1-1/2" SURFACT COURSE
3" BINGEN COURSE
6" CRUSHED STONE
BASIC COURSE THE SECOND TO THE OF CHEST AND THE SECOND TO O CONTROL κŲ 2 183 | | 16 STANLS | | | | Z 3 PROPOSED PARKING AREA ±q RAILROAD VARIABLE RO.W. F LAYER TOPSOIL RECEIVING er 8.8.2013 0 SOUTHERN STAPE EST. **(b)** STORM WATER MANAGEMENT AREA Depocy. Ass.
Best on Community Ponel No. 350491–0048 positional by the Federal Emergency
Appropriate Approach of the Property of the Pederal Emergency
Approach Approach of the Pederal Community of the Pederal Emergency
Best Approach of the Pederal Community of the Pederal Emergency
Best Approach of the Property Best within the 100-year Property 2214
devotion none of the property Best within the 100-year Property WISCONSIN FF.C- 31.85 調單 EXISTING BLOCK BUILDING No. 227 DUNBAR CURB DETAIL FRANKLIN AVENUE ASPHALT 15.51 0 .51.06 30.06.40 s ASPHALT . ⊕ ©