Pauline Haass Public Library

Director Evaluation

To Library Board of Trustees:

The attached performance evaluation form will be used by the Library Board to gain insight to overall performance of the Library Director. This evaluation process will comprise of a review by the Personnel Committee of all submitted evaluations. The Personnel Committee will compile and generalize ratings and comments received, then summarize the results in a document to be presented in closed session to the Library Board of Trustees. A separate closed session will be scheduled, with the Library Director present, to deliver the summarized review and give time for further comments and clarifications by the Library Director and Board of Trustees.

Please take time to complete the Performance Evaluation Form. This evaluation process is not only a benefit to the library director's betterment professionally, but will strengthen the organization as a whole.

Evaluations are to be submitted to the Personnel Committee during the November 15, 2015 Library Board meeting. Evaluations may be typed or hand-written and are to be submitted in <u>paper form</u>. Due to confidentiality, please do not email the completed evaluation. If you are unable to attend the November 15th meeting, please coordinate a time to turn in your completed evaluation to a Personnel Committee member previous to the meeting date.

A closed session with the full Board will be scheduled at the regular December Board meeting to review the Personnel Committee's recommendations.

Thank you.

Personnel Committee

John Rubick 262-246-1973 (ext.1179)

Linda Meagher 414-840-7990 (home) 414-755-8154 (work)

Jen Vande Hei 262-573-3222



Library Director Performance Evaluation Form

Name:	Trustee:	
Date of this Review:	Trustee Signature:	

Purpose of the Performance Evaluation

- To ensure the Director and the Library Board are aware of how well expectations are being met.
- To serve as a formal vehicle of primary communication between the Library Board and the Director.
- To identify any concerns the Library Board may have, so that appropriate action can be taken.
- To demonstrate sound management practices and accountability to municipal officials and the community.

Use the Performance Rating scale below to evaluate the library director's performance during the review period. Consider the objectives listed in each category.

PERFORMANCE RATING			
Description	Definition		
Exceptional Performance (5)	Performance far exceeds expectations of the position and is of high quality on a continuous basis.		
Exceeds Expectations (4)	Performance is at a high level and frequently exceeds normal expectations of the position.		
Meets Expectations (3)	Performance is acceptable and desired results and expectations for the position are generally achieved.		
Needs Improvement (2)	Performance and results achieved generally do not meet the standards and expectations for the position requirements.		
Unacceptable (1)	Performance is consistently below standards and expectations of the position.		

onship with Library BoardRating
Keeps the board informed on issues, needs, and operations of the library. Keeps the board updated on the implementation of library goals, objectives, and short and long terplanning. Keeps the board informed on local, state, and national library trends. Offers professional advice to the board on items requiring board action, with appropriate recommendations based on thorough study and analysis. Supports and implements board policies promptly and effectively for staff and public. Keeps the board informed of board training opportunities. Presents matters requiring the board's attention clearly and makes reasonable recommendations. Is accessible and returns telephone calls or emails and is always willing to discuss issues. Treats all board members with dignity and respects their point of view.
ments:
unity and Professional Relationships Rating

Business and Finance	Rating
 Keeps informed on the needs of the library – plant, facilities, e Presents budgets that are realistic and that meet the needs of th Stays abreast of other sources of funding and attempts to find g Evaluates financial needs and makes recommendations for ade Determines that funds are wisely spent and within budget limit Supervises operations, insisting on competent and efficient per 	the library. By the grants and other funds for the library. Equate funding. Exact the grants are set of the library. Exact the library.
Comments:	
Employment, Supervision and Staff Development	Rating
Develops and executes sound personnel procedures and practic highly-qualified, effective staff members.	ces in recruiting, employing, and retainin
> Develops good staff morale and loyalty to the organization.	.1.1
 Delegates authority to staff appropriate to the positions each ho Encourages staff to develop their skills through workshops and 	
➤ Recruits and assigns the best available personnel in terms of the	~ ~ ~
Monitors and evaluates the performance of staff to provide tim provides support, resources, and remediation to improve job per deficiencies and proficiencies.	•
Promotes an environment of workplace safety.	
Comments:	

 Maintains high standards of ethics, honesty and integrity in Sets an example for the staff through professional conduct a 	•	
 Has a thorough understanding of library management, policies and budgeting. Has a sense of where the library has been and where it needs to go. 		
> Stays up to date about library law and related laws and keeps the board informed.		
Sets priorities which appropriately reflect community needs	3.	
Comments:		
Overall Performance Rating		
Based upon the preceding comments and evaluations, indicate the redirector's overall performance for the evaluation period. This may criteria ratings, since some criteria are more important than others.	·	
Exceptional Performance(5)Needs Impr	rovement(2)	
Exceeds Expectations(4)Unacceptab	ole(1)	
Meets Expectations(3)		
What strengths did the library director exhibit throughout	ut the review period?	
What specific recommendations do you have for the libra	ary director to improve performance?	

Rating

Management Characteristics