Project	Munis Payroll			
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	4	. 3	12	70
Strategic Alignment	3	2	6	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	2	3	6	
Leverage Potential	2	. 2	4	
Staff or System Reduction	4	. 3	12	
Customer Base	3	2	6	
Increase Effectiveness	4	. 3	12	
Process Improvement	4	. 3	12	
Project		Pro QA Med	dical Softwar	e
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	4	. 2	8	84
Strategic Alignment	4	. 2	8	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	5	4	20	
Leverage Potential	2	2	4	
Staff or System Reduction	3	3	9	

Customer Base

Increase Effectiveness

Process Improvement

P	roi	ec	t
		~	

Process Improvement

Tier 1 & Tier 2 Storage

Froject		HELT &	TICI Z Storag	;c	
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority	
Required Service/Product	3	3	9		57
Strategic Alignment	3	3	9		
Importance to Risk Mitigation	5	3	15		
Leverage Potential	3	3	9		
Staff or System Reduction	1	3	3		
Customer Base	1	2	2		
Increase Effectiveness	2	3	6		
Process Improvement	2	2	4		
Project		Vm	ware NSX		
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority	
Required Service/Product	2	1	2		54
Strategic Alignment	2	3	6		
Importance to Risk Mitigation	2	2	4		
Leverage Potential	3	5	15		
Staff or System Reduction	2	4	8		
Customer Base	1	1	1		
Increase Effectiveness	3	3	9		
Process Improvement	3	3	9		
Project		Forensics Se	rver Replace	ment	
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority	
Required Service/Product	5	4	9		58
Strategic Alignment	4	4	8		
Importance to Risk Mitigation	5	5	10		
Leverage Potential	3		7		
Staff or System Reduction	2		4		
Customer Base	4		9		
Increase Effectiveness	4		7		
case Elicotiveliess	7	9	,		

2

2

CriteriaWeight (0-5)Score (-5 to +5)Total ScoreCalualted PriorityRequired Service/Product531561Strategic Alignment339Importance to Risk Mitigation224Leverage Potential236Staff or System Reduction236Customer Base133Increase Effectiveness4312Process Improvement326ProjectTV25 UpgradesCriteriaWeight (0-5)Score (-5 to +5)Total ScoreCalualted PriorityRequired Service/Product33962Strategic Alignment33962Importance to Risk Mitigation1333Leverage Potential248Staff or System Reduction122Customer Base4416Increase Effectiveness339Process Improvement326ProjectiPad ReplacementsCriteriaWeight (0-5)Score (-5 to +5)Total ScoreCalualted Priority	Project
Strategic Alignment 3 3 9 Importance to Risk Mitigation 2 2 4 Leverage Potential 2 3 6 Staff or System Reduction 2 3 6 Customer Base 1 3 3 12 Increase Effectiveness 4 3 12 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project TV25 Upgrades Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority Required Service/Product 3 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 1 3 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 1 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 1 3 5 9 62 Strategic Alignment 1 5 9 62 Strategic Alignment 1 6 8 62 Staff or System Reduction 1 6 8 62 Customer Base 4 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 3 9 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Criteria
Importance to Risk Mitigation Leverage Potential Staff or System Reduction Customer Base 1 3 6 Customer Base 1 3 10crease Effectiveness 4 3 11 Process Improvement 3 7 TV25 Upgrades TV25 Upgrades Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority Required Service/Product Strategic Alignment Mitigation Leverage Potential Leverage Potential Staff or System Reduction 1 Customer Base 4 4 8 Staff or System Reduction 1 Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 7 Total Score Calualted Priority Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Required Service/Product
Leverage Potential 2 3 6 Staff or System Reduction 2 3 6 Customer Base 1 3 3 Increase Effectiveness 4 3 12 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project TV25 Upgrades Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority Required Service/Product 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 3 3 9 Importance to Risk Mitigation 1 3 3 Leverage Potential 2 4 8 Staff or System Reduction 1 2 2 Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Strategic Alignment
Staff or System Reduction Customer Base 1 3 3 Increase Effectiveness 4 3 12 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority Required Service/Product Strategic Alignment Importance to Risk Mitigation Leverage Potential Leverage Potential Staff or System Reduction 1 2 3 3 9 Customer Base 4 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority Calualted Priority Figure 1 Froject Customer Base Criteria Score Calualted Priority	Importance to Risk Mitigation
Customer Base 1 3 3 12 Process Improvement 3 7V25 Upgrades Project TV25 Upgrades Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority Required Service/Product 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 3 3 9 Importance to Risk Mitigation 1 3 3 Leverage Potential 2 4 8 Staff or System Reduction 1 2 2 2 Customer Base 4 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Leverage Potential
Increase Effectiveness	Staff or System Reduction
ProjectTV25 UpgradesCriteriaWeight (0-5)Score (-5 to +5)Total ScoreCalualted PriorityRequired Service/Product33962Strategic Alignment339Importance to Risk Mitigation133Leverage Potential248Staff or System Reduction122Customer Base4416Increase Effectiveness339Process Improvement326ProjectiPad ReplacementsCriteriaWeight (0-5)Score (-5 to +5)Total ScoreCalualted Priority	Customer Base
Project Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority Required Service/Product Strategic Alignment Importance to Risk Mitigation Leverage Potential Staff or System Reduction Customer Base Increase Effectiveness Process Improvement TV25 Upgrades Calualted Priority 62 Calualted Priority 62 Calualted Priority 62 Calualted Priority Froject Froject Project Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Increase Effectiveness
CriteriaWeight (0-5)Score (-5 to +5)Total ScoreCalualted PriorityRequired Service/Product33962Strategic Alignment339Importance to Risk Mitigation133Leverage Potential248Staff or System Reduction122Customer Base4416Increase Effectiveness339Process Improvement326ProjectiPad ReplacementsCriteriaWeight (0-5)Score (-5 to +5)Total ScoreCalualted Priority	Process Improvement
Required Service/Product 3 3 9 62 Strategic Alignment 3 3 9 Importance to Risk Mitigation 1 3 3 Leverage Potential 2 4 8 Staff or System Reduction 1 2 2 Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Project
Strategic Alignment 3 3 9 Importance to Risk Mitigation 1 3 3 Leverage Potential 2 4 8 Staff or System Reduction 1 2 2 Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Criteria
Importance to Risk Mitigation133Leverage Potential248Staff or System Reduction122Customer Base4416Increase Effectiveness339Process Improvement326 Project Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Required Service/Product
Leverage Potential 2 4 8 Staff or System Reduction 1 2 2 Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Strategic Alignment
Staff or System Reduction 1 2 2 Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Importance to Risk Mitigation
Customer Base 4 4 16 Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Leverage Potential
Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9 Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Staff or System Reduction
Process Improvement 3 2 6 Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Customer Base
Project iPad Replacements Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Increase Effectiveness
Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Process Improvement
Criteria Weight (0-5) Score (-5 to +5) Total Score Calualted Priority	Project
	Criteria
Required Service/Product 3 2 6 39	Required Service/Product
Strategic Alignment 3 3 9	Strategic Alignment
Importance to Risk Mitigation 1 2 2	
Leverage Potential 2 2 4	•
Staff or System Reduction 1 2 2	-
Customer Base 1 1 1	·
Increase Effectiveness 3 3 9	
Process Improvement 2 3 6	

Project	WPRF White Boards			
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	4	3	12	72
Strategic Alignment	3	2	6	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	1	2	2	
Leverage Potential	3	3	9	
Staff or System Reduction	3	2	6	
Customer Base	4	4	16	
Increase Effectiveness	3	3	9	
Process Improvement	4	3	12	

Project	FS3-FS2 Phase 1			
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	4	3	12	71
Strategic Alignment	4	3	12	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	4	4	16	
Leverage Potential	4	3	12	
Staff or System Reduction	1	2	2	
Customer Base	2	2	4	
Increase Effectiveness	3	3	9	
Process Improvement	2	2	4	

Project		WPRF Doo	r Security	
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Scor	Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	3	3	9	94
Strategic Alignment	3	3	9	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	4	3	12	
Leverage Potential	2		4	
Staff or System Reduction	5	4	20	
Customer Base	4	4	16	
Increase Effectiveness	4	3	12	
Process Improvement	4	3	12	
Project	M - 1 - 1 - 1 (0 - 5)	WPRF OptiC	•	
Criteria				Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	3		9	103
Strategic Alignment	4	_	12	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	5		20	
Leverage Potential	3	_	9 12	
Staff or System Reduction Customer Base	4 5			
Increase Effectiveness				
	3		9	
Process Improvement	4	3	12	
Project		Command Post C	optiCop Car	meras
Criteria	Weight (0-5)			Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	3		9	103
Strategic Alignment	4		12	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	5	4	20	
Leverage Potential	3		9	
Staff or System Reduction	4		12	
Customer Base	5		20	
Increase Effectiveness	3		9	

4 3

12

Process Improvement

Project	PD Vestibule OptiCop Cameras				
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Scor	Calualted Priority	
Required Service/Product	3	2	6	81	
Strategic Alignment	4	2	8		
Importance to Risk Mitigation	5	2	10		
Leverage Potential	3	2	6		
Staff or System Reduction	4	3	12		
Customer Base	5	3	15		
Increase Effectiveness	3	4	12		
Process Improvement	4	3	12		

Project	Switches			
Criteria	Weight (0-5)	Score (-5 to +5)	Total Score	Calualted Priority
Required Service/Product	3	3	9	54
Strategic Alignment	3	3	9	
Importance to Risk Mitigation	3	3	9	
Leverage Potential	3	3	9	
Staff or System Reduction	3	2	6	
Customer Base	1	2	2	
Increase Effectiveness	2	2	4	
Process Improvement	3	2	6	

Project	UPS Replacements				
Criteria	Weight (0-5) So	core (-5 to +5)	Total Scor (Calualted Priority	
Required Service/Product	4	3	12	57	
Strategic Alignment	3	3	9		
Importance to Risk Mitigation	5	4	20		
Leverage Potential	3	2	6		
Staff or System Reduction	1	2	2		
Customer Base	2	1	2		
Increase Effectiveness	2	1	2		
Process Improvement	4	1	4		